PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:					PCT
	see form l	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY OF THE SEARCHING AUTHORITY
				,	
		100		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
• •	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below	
	national application (T/EP2004/003788		International filing date (d 08.04.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.04.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification A61M1/16, A61M1/34			both national classification	and IPC	
Appl NAI	icant LESSO, Federico)			
1.	This opinion co	ntains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:	
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion		-
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
	☑ Box No. III	Non-establishr	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	f invention		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis applicability; citations and explanation			.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	lication	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	rations on the internation	al application	
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
	For further optio	ns, see Form P0	CT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
			•		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Böttcher, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2875



International application No. PCT/EP2004/003788

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:			
	□ a sequence listing			
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:			
	☐ in written format			
	☐ in computer readable form			
	c. time of filing/furnishing:			
	□ contained in the international application as filed.			
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:			

International application No. PCT/EP2004/003788

	Box	k No. II	Priority	
1.	☐ The following document has not been furnished:			
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	
3.	Ado	ditional o	bservations, if necessary:	

International application No. PCT/EP2004/003788

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 9-13					
because:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 9-13					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further	detai	Is			

International application No. PCT/EP2004/003788

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2

No: Claims

1, 3-8

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

2

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-8

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DE 41 02 693 A (SCHUREK HANS JOACHIM PROF DR M) 6 August 1992
 - D2: US-A-4 209 392 (WALLACE RICHARD A) 24 June 1980
 - D3: GB-A-2 124 511 (SCHULZ UND PARTNER JORG) 22 February 1984
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A blood purification device comprising a duct (2a, 2b, 3) for the flow of whole blood along which there is a stage (1) for filtering plasma from the whole blood, which is functionally arrangeable in connection to a plasma purification circuit (5, 17), and a stage(1) for whole blood dialysis by means of plasma purified in said circuit, said stage for whole blood dialysis comprising a selectively permeable interface for separating at least part of the whole blood stream of said duct from a countercurrent stream of plasma purified in said circuit (see Fig. 4 and column 2, line 45 - column 3, line 36).

The document D3 also discloses a blood purification device according to claim 1.

- 3. Dependent claims 3-8 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1-D3 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.
- 4. The combination of the features of dependent claim 2 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.